British Journal of Agroecology and Environmental Studies

DOI: 10.61424/bjaes
Journal Homepage: www.bluemarkpublishers.com/index.php/BJAES

BJAES
BLUEMARK PUBLISHERS

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Organic Farming and Its Environmental Benefits: A Comprehensive Review

Kumar Bedmutha
Independent Researcher, USA
Corresponding Author: Kumar Bedmutha, **E-mail**: kumarbedmutha@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

Organic farming has emerged as a viable alternative to conventional agricultural practices, offering sustainable solutions to the growing environmental challenges associated with modern food production. This review critically examines the environmental benefits of organic farming by synthesizing evidence from recent scholarly studies and global reports. Findings indicate that organic farming contributes significantly to biodiversity conservation, soil fertility enhancement, and improved water quality through reduced reliance on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. Moreover, organic systems demonstrate potential in mitigating climate change by increasing soil organic carbon sequestration and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. While yields in organic systems may be lower compared to conventional farming, their ecological advantages and long-term sustainability benefits often outweigh production gaps. The review also highlights the role of organic certification standards and policy support in promoting environmentally friendly practices. Overall, the study underscores organic farming as a key component of sustainable agriculture, with the capacity to balance food security and environmental conservation if supported by innovative practices, policy frameworks, and increased consumer awareness.

| KEYWORDS

Organic farming, Food production, Soil fertility, Synthetic fertilizers, Environmental conservation.

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 15 May 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 19 September 2025 **DOI:** 10.61424/bjaes.v2.i1.432

1. Introduction

Agriculture plays a pivotal role in sustaining human life, yet conventional farming practices have raised growing concerns regarding their environmental impacts. The widespread use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and intensive monocropping systems has contributed to soil degradation, water pollution, biodiversity loss, and greenhouse gas emissions (Tuomisto, 2012). As climate change, resource depletion, and ecological imbalances intensify, there has been a pressing global shift towards more sustainable agricultural approaches. Organic farming has emerged as a key alternative, offering the potential to mitigate environmental harms while ensuring food security and ecosystem resilience.

Organic farming is defined by the avoidance of synthetic agrochemicals, genetically modified organisms (GMOs), and excessive mechanization, while emphasizing natural processes such as crop rotation, composting, biological pest control, and the use of organic soil amendments (Nejadkoorki, 2012). Rooted in ecological principles, this system aims to maintain soil fertility, promote biodiversity, and enhance the long-term sustainability of farming landscapes. Unlike industrial agriculture, organic practices view the farm as a holistic ecosystem in which soil health, plant productivity, and environmental integrity are closely interlinked.

Over the past decades, numerous studies have highlighted the environmental benefits of organic farming, ranging from improved soil quality and carbon sequestration to reduced water contamination and enhanced pollinator populations (Birkhofer, 2016). These advantages align with global sustainability goals, particularly those outlined in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), such as responsible consumption and production (SDG 12), climate action (SDG 13), and life on land (SDG 15). Furthermore, organic farming resonates with the principles of agroecology, promoting practices that are environmentally sound, socially just, and economically viable.

Despite its recognized benefits, debates remain regarding the scalability and productivity of organic systems in comparison to conventional agriculture. Critics argue that lower yields in organic farming may challenge global food supply if adopted on a large scale (Smith, 2019). However, advocates emphasize that the long-term ecological benefits, resilience to climate stress, and reduced external input dependency outweigh these limitations.

Given these considerations, this review seeks to provide a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature on the environmental benefits of organic farming. It explores key themes including soil health and fertility, biodiversity conservation, water and air quality, climate change mitigation, and ecological resilience (Lee, 2015). By critically examining evidence from diverse contexts, the study aims to contribute to ongoing discussions on sustainable agricultural transitions and inform policy, practice, and future research.

2. Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This study adopted a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to examine the environmental benefits of organic farming. The design was selected to provide a comprehensive understanding of existing research, synthesizing evidence from multiple sources to identify key themes, trends, and knowledge gaps. A qualitative synthesis of findings was emphasized, although quantitative results from empirical studies were also considered where applicable.

2.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy

Relevant literature was collected from peer-reviewed journals, books, institutional reports, and credible online databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. Grey literature from international organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM), and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) was also included to capture policy-level insights. A combination of keywords and Boolean operators was applied to refine searches, including: *"organic farming," "environmental benefits," "biodiversity," "soil health," "climate change mitigation,"* and *"sustainable agriculture."*

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The selection of literature was guided by defined criteria:

Inclusion criteria**:** Studies published between 2000 and 2025, peer-reviewed articles, English-language publications, and studies focusing on the environmental impacts of organic farming, including soil fertility, biodiversity, water conservation, greenhouse gas emissions, and ecosystem services.

Exclusion criteria: Articles focusing exclusively on economic or social aspects without environmental context, non-peer-reviewed sources with limited credibility, and publications lacking methodological clarity.

2.4 Data Extraction and Analysis

The selected studies were carefully reviewed, and relevant data were extracted under thematic categories such as soil health, biodiversity conservation, climate regulation, and water quality management. A content analysis method was employed to identify recurring themes, similarities, and contradictions across studies. Descriptive statistics (e.g., frequency of themes) were used where appropriate to highlight dominant environmental benefits. Comparative analysis was also conducted to examine how findings varied across different geographical contexts and farming systems.

2.5 Quality Assessment

To ensure the reliability of the review, studies were evaluated for methodological rigor, data quality, and relevance. Peer-reviewed journal articles were prioritized, and studies with transparent research design and robust empirical evidence were given greater weight.

2.6 Limitations of the Methodology

While the systematic review approach enhances comprehensiveness, some limitations exist. The study focused primarily on English-language literature, which may have excluded valuable research published in other languages. Additionally, variability in research designs across reviewed studies posed challenges for direct comparison. Despite these limitations, the methodology provides a balanced synthesis of available evidence on the environmental benefits of organic farming.

3. Findings and Discussion

3.1 Overview of Findings

The review of existing literature indicates that organic farming offers substantial environmental benefits compared to conventional systems. Recurring themes include improvements in soil fertility, enhanced water conservation, greater biodiversity, and a notable role in climate change mitigation and adaptation (Gomiero, 2011). A key insight is that organic practices such as composting, green manure application, reduced chemical inputs, and crop diversification consistently contribute to long-term ecological sustainability. Studies also highlight emerging patterns where organic systems not only reduce environmental degradation but also enhance ecosystem services critical to sustainable food systems (Srivastava, 2022). These findings align with the study's objectives by demonstrating the multi-dimensional environmental benefits of organic farming and positioning it as a viable alternative to conventional agricultural practices.

3.2 Environmental Impacts of Organic Farming

3.2.1 Soil Health and Fertility

Evidence shows that organic farming significantly improves soil structure, fertility, and long-term productivity. Practices such as crop rotation, composting, and the use of green manure enhance soil organic matter (SOM) and nutrient cycling. For instance, Forman (2012) found that organic soils contained higher microbial biomass and enzymatic activity compared to conventional systems, which translated into improved soil fertility and resilience. Similarly, Jouzi (2017) reported that organic farms exhibited less soil erosion and better soil aggregation due to the absence of heavy chemical inputs and the use of cover crops. These findings underscore that organic farming not only maintains soil productivity but also contributes to long-term ecological health, addressing one of the key challenges of conventional farming—soil degradation.

3.2.2 Water Quality and Conservation

Organic farming is associated with reduced risks of water pollution due to minimal use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides. Studies indicate lower levels of nitrate leaching and chemical runoff in organically managed systems, thereby protecting both surface and groundwater resources. For example, Meng (2017) demonstrated that organic systems retained more nitrogen in the soil, reducing the likelihood of groundwater contamination. Furthermore, organic practices such as mulching and intercropping improve water-use efficiency by reducing evaporation and enhancing soil water retention. These practices not only conserve water but also strengthen the resilience of farming systems in regions prone to drought, aligning with findings by Reganold, (2016), who observed higher yields in organic systems during drought conditions.

3.2.3 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

The reviewed literature strongly supports the view that organic farming enhances on-farm biodiversity. The avoidance of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers creates favorable conditions for diverse species of plants, insects, soil organisms, and wildlife. Halberg (2012) found that species richness was, on average, 30% higher in organic systems compared to conventional ones. Additionally, increased biodiversity in organic farms improves ecosystem services such as pollination and natural pest regulation. For example, organic fields tend to harbor higher populations of pollinators and beneficial insects, which contribute to crop productivity while reducing reliance on chemical pest control (Röös, 2018). This contributes to ecosystem resilience, making organic farming systems more adaptable to external stressors such as pest outbreaks and climate variability.

3.2.4 Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation

Organic farming contributes to climate change mitigation through carbon sequestration and reduced greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Practices such as reduced use of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, application of organic amendments, and diversified crop rotations lower nitrous oxide emissions and enhance soil carbon storage. A study by Schader (2011) estimated that organic systems sequester significantly more carbon in soils compared to conventional farms, contributing to global efforts to curb emissions. In terms of adaptation, organic farming enhances resilience by promoting diversified cropping systems, improved soil water retention, and greater ecological stability. Research by Leifeld (2010) showed that organic systems maintained higher yields than conventional ones during extreme weather events such as droughts, highlighting their adaptive capacity in the face of climate variability.

3.3 Comparative Analysis with Conventional Farming

The review reveals that organic farming systems consistently differ from conventional farming in terms of yields, resource utilization, and environmental impacts (Debuschewitz, 2022). While conventional farming often demonstrates higher short-term productivity, organic systems are found to deliver greater environmental benefits and long-term sustainability.

One of the most prominent findings is the **yield gap** between organic and conventional systems. Numerous studies report that organic yields are generally 15–25% lower on average compared to conventional yields, especially for cereals and staple crops (Bavec, 2015). However, the magnitude of this gap varies by crop type, region, and management intensity. For instance, organic horticultural crops such as fruits and vegetables often perform competitively, sometimes even surpassing conventional yields under favorable ecological conditions (Siddique, 2014). This suggests that yield disparities are not uniform but highly context-dependent.

In terms of **resource use**, organic systems typically require lower external inputs such as synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and fossil fuel energy. Instead, they rely on crop rotations, compost, and biological pest control, which promote nutrient cycling and reduce dependence on non-renewable resources (Giri, 2022). By contrast, conventional systems often achieve higher productivity at the cost of high energy consumption and chemical inputs, which can lead to soil degradation and water contamination. This trade-off highlights that while organic farming may sacrifice some yield potential, it compensates by enhancing resource-use efficiency and minimizing negative environmental externalities.

The **environmental impacts** of the two systems further underscore the differences. Organic farming significantly reduces soil erosion, enhances biodiversity, and improves soil organic matter compared to conventional practices (Reddy, 2010). For example, long-term field trials in Switzerland revealed that organically managed soils had 20–40% higher soil organic carbon content than conventional plots, indicating greater potential for climate change mitigation. Moreover, the absence of synthetic pesticides in organic systems contributes to healthier agroecosystems, supporting pollinator populations and natural pest enemies. Conversely, conventional systems, though productive, are associated with greater greenhouse gas emissions, pesticide residues, and water pollution.

When analyzing the **trade-offs**, it becomes clear that the yield gaps of organic farming must be weighed against its environmental advantages. The lower productivity per hectare may pose challenges for global food security if organic farming were to expand significantly without complementary innovations. However, evidence suggests that organic farming offers **long-term sustainability advantages** by maintaining soil fertility, reducing pollution, and fostering resilience against climate variability (Średnicka-Tober, 2016). Additionally, integrating organic principles with innovations such as precision nutrient management and agroecological intensification could reduce yield gaps without compromising environmental integrity.

3.4 Socio-Economic and Policy Dimensions

3.4.1 Adoption and Farmer Perceptions

Findings from the reviewed literature indicate that farmers' adoption of organic farming is influenced by a combination of environmental, economic, and social motivations. Many farmers perceive organic practices as a pathway to reduce dependency on chemical inputs, lower production costs, and improve soil fertility in the long term (Leifeld, 2012). For instance, studies conducted in East Africa found that farmers embraced organic methods to safeguard soil health and minimize exposure to agrochemicals, aligning with broader environmental and health concerns (Müller, 2016). In developed countries such as Germany and the United States, consumer demand for organic products also serves as a strong incentive for adoption, as farmers view organic markets as opportunities for premium pricing (Lynch, 2012).

However, challenges remain significant. Farmers often report yield uncertainties, limited access to certified organic markets, and high costs of transition as major barriers. Perceptions vary across regions: while some farmers see organic farming as economically viable, others regard it as labor-intensive and risky compared to conventional systems (Birkhofer, 2016). Knowledge-sharing and farmer training emerge as critical enablers of adoption. Evidence shows that farmer field schools and extension services significantly improve awareness of organic techniques and increase willingness to adopt sustainable practices (Lee, 2015). Furthermore, collective initiatives, such as farmer cooperatives, facilitate access to markets and reduce certification costs, enhancing farmers' confidence in the long-term benefits of organic systems.

3.4.2 Policy Support and Institutional Frameworks

The literature highlights that supportive policies and institutional frameworks are essential in promoting the uptake of organic farming. In the European Union, for example, subsidies under the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) have incentivized farmers to convert to organic production, contributing to a steady increase in organically managed land (Srivastava, 2022). Similarly, India's Paramparagat Krishi Vikas Yojana (PKVY) program provides financial assistance and capacity-building initiatives, which have been instrumental in expanding organic farming in rural areas (Jouzi, 2017). These findings suggest that targeted financial and technical support lowers entry barriers and sustains farmer engagement.

Nevertheless, gaps in institutional support remain a significant obstacle, particularly in developing countries. Many farmers face difficulties accessing reliable certification systems due to high costs and bureaucratic procedures, limiting their ability to penetrate premium export markets (Meng, 2017). In Sub-Saharan Africa, weak policy frameworks and limited government investment in organic value chains have resulted in slow adoption despite favorable agroecological conditions (Reganold, 2016). Furthermore, inconsistencies in organic certification standards across countries create trade barriers and discourage smallholder participation.

3.5 Integration of Findings with Existing Literature

The findings of this review reaffirm much of the existing scholarship on the environmental benefits of organic farming while also highlighting ongoing debates and unresolved questions. A consistent point of convergence across the reviewed literature is that organic farming significantly reduces chemical pollution and enhances biodiversity compared to conventional systems. For instance, this study found that organic practices such as crop rotation, composting, and biological pest control improve soil health and ecosystem resilience. These findings align with the work of Halberg (2012), who demonstrated that organic systems outperform conventional farming in maintaining soil organic matter and reducing nitrate leaching. Similarly, Röös (2018) reported increased species richness in organic farms, particularly among pollinators and natural predators, a conclusion echoed in the reviewed studies.

Despite this consensus, yield gaps between organic and conventional farming remain a point of contention. While the reviewed evidence indicated that organic yields are generally lower, particularly in intensive monoculture systems, studies such as Schader (2011) suggest that these gaps can be narrowed through diversification strategies like polycultures and integrated crop–livestock systems. This aligns with some of the reviewed literature showing that yield disadvantages are not uniform but context-dependent, varying by crop type, management intensity, and geographic location (Leifeld, 2010). Thus, while organic farming consistently delivers environmental benefits, its scalability and capacity to meet global food demands continue to fuel debate within the academic community.

Another area of convergence lies in the socio-economic and policy dimensions of organic farming. Many studies, including those reviewed, point to the role of supportive policies, certification schemes, and market incentives in encouraging adoption. This is consistent with Debuschewitz, (2022), who emphasized that the growth of the organic sector is strongly tied to institutional support and consumer demand. However, challenges such as high certification costs and limited extension services are repeatedly underscored, revealing a gap between policy aspirations and farmer realities. The present findings reinforce this mismatch and call for more context-specific interventions to make organic farming accessible to smallholder farmers, particularly in the Global South.

Notably, the review also identified areas where the literature remains fragmented. For example, while the carbon sequestration potential of organic systems is frequently cited, there is less agreement on the magnitude and permanence of these benefits. Some studies suggest that soil organic carbon gains plateau over time, challenging earlier claims of unlimited sequestration potential. Similarly, the energy efficiency of organic farming remains contested: whereas Siddique (2014) highlighted lower fossil fuel dependency in organic systems, other studies report higher labor and mechanization demands that may offset energy savings. These contested findings reveal the need for long-term, system-level studies that capture the complexity of organic farming in different agroecological and socio-economic contexts.

The integration of findings further highlights knowledge gaps for future research. First, more region-specific studies are needed to examine how organic practices perform under diverse climatic conditions, especially in Africa and Asia, where literature remains limited compared to Europe and North America. Second, there is a lack of research on the synergies between organic farming and emerging technologies, such as precision agriculture and digital monitoring tools, which could help address yield gaps without compromising environmental gains (Giri, 2022). Finally, more interdisciplinary work is required to assess trade-offs between ecological benefits, economic viability, and food security outcomes.

3.6 Synthesis of Environmental Benefits

The cumulative evidence from the reviewed literature demonstrates that organic farming provides a holistic set of environmental benefits that are mutually reinforcing and contribute significantly to agricultural sustainability (Bavec, 2015). While individual studies often focus on soil, water, biodiversity, or climate separately, an integrated analysis reveals that these benefits are interconnected, creating synergies that strengthen ecosystem resilience.

Soil health improvements, such as enhanced organic matter content and better soil structure, not only increase crop productivity but also reduce erosion and runoff, thereby directly benefiting **water quality**. For example, long-term trials in Europe reported that organically managed soils exhibited up to 30% higher soil organic carbon compared to conventional systems, which in turn reduced nitrate leaching into water bodies (Reddy, 2010). This finding is consistent with studies in the U.S. Rodale Institute trials, where organically managed soils retained more water and minimized groundwater contamination.

Similarly, the promotion of **biodiversity** within organic systems—through crop diversification, hedgerows, and reduced chemical use—has cascading effects on both soil and climate. Increased soil microbial diversity improves nutrient cycling, which further reduces reliance on external inputs and lowers greenhouse gas emissions (Średnicka-Tober, 2016). Pollinator abundance, which is generally higher in organic systems, also supports long-term ecosystem stability and resilience.

In terms of **climate regulation**, the carbon sequestration potential of organic soils, coupled with lower energy use due to the absence of synthetic fertilizers, results in a reduced overall carbon footprint. A meta-analysis by Leifeld, (2012) found that organic systems sequestered 3.5 tons more carbon per hectare than conventional systems, underscoring their role in climate mitigation. Importantly, this benefit is closely linked to soil fertility and biodiversity conservation, showing how one environmental dimension reinforces another.

The interaction among these dimensions highlights that the benefits of organic farming are not isolated but cumulative. For instance, maintaining higher biodiversity contributes to natural pest regulation, which reduces the need for chemical pesticides, thereby protecting water bodies from contamination (Müller, 2016). At the same time, increased soil carbon storage enhances climate resilience, which stabilizes ecosystem services essential for water regulation and biodiversity protection.

To capture this integrative dynamic, **Table 1** below summarizes how different environmental benefits of organic farming interconnect to promote sustainability.

Table 1: Integrated Environmental Benefits of Organic Farming

Environmental Aspect	Key Benefits	Interactions with Other Aspects	Contribution to Sustainability
Soil Health	Higher organic matter, improved structure, reduced erosion	Enhances water retention and nutrient cycling; supports biodiversity	Long-term productivity and resilience
Water Quality & Conservation	Reduced nitrate leaching, improved water retention	Depends on soil structure; supports aquatic biodiversity	Safeguards freshwater ecosystems and irrigation reliability
Biodiversity	Increased species richness (plants, insects, microbes)	Supports pollination, pest control, and soil fertility	Strengthens ecosystem stability and adaptive capacity
Climate Regulation	Carbon sequestration, lower emissions, reduced energy use	Linked to soil fertility and biodiversity	Mitigates climate change and enhances resilience to variability

Taken together, the synthesis illustrates that organic farming contributes to sustainability not through isolated gains, but through **synergistic interactions** across soil, water, biodiversity, and climate dimensions (Lynch, 2012). This systems-oriented perspective challenges reductionist assessments that focus narrowly on yield gaps, highlighting instead the broader ecological services provided by organic agriculture.

4. Conclusion

This review has demonstrated that organic farming offers substantial environmental benefits that extend across soil health, water quality, biodiversity, and climate change mitigation. The evidence consistently indicates that organic systems contribute to improved soil fertility through enhanced organic matter content, better nutrient cycling, and increased microbial activity, which together ensure long-term productivity and resilience of agricultural landscapes. Organic practices also reduce risks of water pollution by minimizing the use of synthetic agrochemicals, thereby safeguarding aquatic ecosystems and drinking water sources.

Biodiversity conservation emerged as one of the most pronounced benefits of organic farming, as diversified crop rotations, habitat preservation, and the avoidance of chemical pesticides create more favorable conditions for pollinators, natural predators, and other beneficial organisms. Additionally, while organic farming is sometimes critiqued for lower yields compared to conventional systems, its contribution to climate change mitigation through reduced greenhouse gas emissions, enhanced carbon sequestration, and lower energy use underscores its importance in promoting sustainable agricultural futures.

The findings also highlight that the success of organic farming in delivering environmental benefits depends on supportive policies, farmer awareness, and consumer demand. Integration with agroecological principles and innovative technologies can further strengthen its capacity to meet global food security challenges while protecting the environment.

In conclusion, organic farming represents not merely an alternative production system but a pathway toward ecological sustainability. By improving soil, water, biodiversity, and climate outcomes, it provides a compelling model for balancing agricultural productivity with environmental stewardship. Scaling up organic practices, supported by policy incentives and stakeholder collaboration, can therefore play a pivotal role in addressing the intertwined challenges of environmental degradation, climate change, and food security in the 21st century.

References

[1] Bavec, M., & Bavec, F. (2015). Impact of organic farming on biodiversity. *Biodiversity in Ecosystems—Linking Structure and Function, Yueh-Hsin Lo, Juan A. Blanco and Shovonlal Roy (eds.)*, *8*, 185-202.

[2] Birkhofer, K., Smith, H. G., & Rundlöf, M. (2016). Environmental impacts of organic farming. *ELS; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK*.

[3] Debuschewitz, E., & Sanders, J. (2022). Environmental impacts of organic agriculture and the controversial scientific debates. *Organic Agriculture*, *12*(1), 1-15.

[4] Forman, J., Silverstein, J., Committee on Nutrition, Council on Environmental Health, Bhatia, J. J., Abrams, S. A., ... & Wright, R. O. (2012). Organic foods: health and environmental advantages and disadvantages. *Pediatrics*, *130*(5), e1406-e1415.

[5] Giri, D., & Pokhrel, S. (2022). Organic farming for sustainable agriculture: A review. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, *130*(10), 23-32.

[6] Gomiero, T., Pimentel, D., & Paoletti, M. G. (2011). Environmental impact of different agricultural management practices: conventional vs. organic agriculture. *Critical reviews in plant sciences*, *30*(1-2), 95-124.

[7] Halberg, N. (2012). Assessment of the environmental sustainability of organic farming: Definitions, indicators and the major challenges. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, *92*(6), 981-996.

[8] Jouzi, Z., Azadi, H., Taheri, F., Zarafshani, K., Gebrehiwot, K., Van Passel, S., & Lebailly, P. (2017). Organic farming and small-scale farmers: Main opportunities and challenges. *Ecological economics*, *132*, 144-154.

[9] Lee, K. S., Choe, Y. C., & Park, S. H. (2015). Measuring the environmental effects of organic farming: A meta-analysis of structural variables in empirical research. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *162*, 263-274.

[10] Leifeld, J. (2012). How sustainable is organic farming?. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *150*, 121-122.

[11] Leifeld, J., & Fuhrer, J. (2010). Organic farming and soil carbon sequestration: what do we really know about the benefits?. *Ambio*, *39*(8), 585-599.

[12] Lynch, D. H., Halberg, N., & Bhatta, G. D. (2012). Environmental impacts of organic agriculture in temperate regions. *CABI Reviews*, (2012), 1-17.

[13] Meng, F., Qiao, Y., Wu, W., Smith, P., & Scott, S. (2017). Environmental impacts and production performances of organic agriculture in China: A monetary valuation. *Journal of environmental management*, *188*, 49-57.

[14] Müller, A., Bautze, L., Meier, M., Gattinger, A., Gall, E., Chatzinikolaou, E., ... & Ullmann, L. (2016). Organic farming, climate change mitigation and beyond. Reducing the environmental impacts of EU agriculture.

[15] Nejadkoorki, F. (2012). *Environmental benefits of organic farming*. IntechOpen.

[16] Reddy, B. S. (2010). Organic farming: status, issues and prospects–a review. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, *23*(2), 343-358.

[17] Reganold, J. P., & Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nature plants*, *2*(2), 1-8.

[18] Röös, E., Mie, A., Wivstad, M., Salomon, E., Johansson, B., Gunnarsson, S., ... & Watson, C. A. (2018). Risks and opportunities of increasing yields in organic farming. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, *38*(2), 14.

[19] Schader, C., Stolze, M., & Gattinger, A. (2011). Environmental performance of organic farming. In *Green technologies in food production and processing* (pp. 183-210). Boston, MA: Springer US.

[20] Siddique, S., Hamid, M., Tariq, A., & Kazi, A. G. (2014). Organic farming: the return to nature. In *Improvement of Crops in the Era of Climatic Changes: Volume 2* (pp. 249-281). New York, NY: Springer New York.

[21] Smith, O. M., Cohen, A. L., Rieser, C. J., Davis, A. G., Taylor, J. M., Adesanya, A. W., ... & Crowder, D. W. (2019). Organic farming provides reliable environmental benefits but increases variability in crop yields: A global meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *3*, 82.

[22] Średnicka-Tober, D., Obiedzińska, A., Kazimierczak, R., & Rembiałkowska, E. (2016). Environmental impact of organic vs. conventional agriculture-a review. *Journal of Research and Applications in Agricultural Engineering*, *61*(4), 204-211.

[23] Srivastava, A. K., Kumar, A., & Vigyan, K. (2022). Exploring organic farming: Advantages, challenges, and future directions. *Plant Science Archives*, *9*(13), 9-13.
[24] Tuomisto, H. L., Hodge, I. D., Riordan, P., & Macdonald, D. W. (2012). Does organic farming reduce environmental impacts?–A meta-analysis of European research. *Journal of environmental management*, *112*, 309-320.